CFD simulations – some information about scientific computing.

D. Niedziela

Abteilung Strömungs- und Materialsimulation Fraunhofer ITWM, Fraunhofer-Platz 1, D67663 Kaiserslautern e-mail: dariusz.niedziela@itwm.fraunhofer.de

Fraunhofer

ITWM

- What is CFD simulation?
- Why should we use CFD simulations.
- \blacksquare CFD simulation important aspects.
- \blacksquare Start with simple convection diffusion example:
	- Finite volume discretization and solution of discrete system.
	- Simulation examples.

What is CFD simulation. **Weak definition.**

■ Computational Fluid Dynamics or CFD is the analysis of systems involving fluid flow, heat transfer and associated phenomena such as chemical reactions by means of computer-based simulation. The technique is very powerful and spans a wide range of industrial and non-industrial application areas (*).

■ Some examples are:

- \blacksquare aerodynamics of aircraft and vehicles: lift and drag
- turbomachinery: flows inside rotating passages, diffusers etc.
- electrical and electronic engineering: cooling of equipment including micro- circuits
- chemical process engineering: mixing and separation, polymer molding, foam expansion flows.
- external and internal environment of buildings: wind loading and heating/ ventilation
- meteorology: weather prediction
- biomedical engineering: blood flows through arteries and veins

(*) An introduction to computational fluid dynamics. The finite volume method. H.K.Versteeg, W.Malalasekera.

- Computers are becoming more and more powerful.
- \blacksquare CFD simulations allows us to:
	- Fast prediction of flow behavior (depending on problem formulation).
	- Help the engineers to develop new products
	- \blacksquare The simulation enables the inspection of product parts that are not visible in the test setup.
	- Simulation enables engineers to make faster and better decisions.
	- Simulation improves product quality, durability, safety, and performance.
	- Simulation may reduce development time and costs significantly.
	- This speed leads to increased productivity and more efficient use of engineering resources.
- \blacksquare Don't forget: CFD simulation is fun \odot

■ CFD is by its nature complex because it combines several components, each of which is a challenge in its own right:

- \blacksquare fluid dynamics and physical modelling;
- \blacksquare geometry and meshing;
- \blacksquare numerical methods discretization of equations and solution of discrete system;
- data analysis;
- computing and programming
- It is therefore difficult to achieve CFD competence, i.e. to have the confidence to perform CFD analyses repeatedly and on a timely basis according to a defined standard.
- Many people often underestimate the complexity of CFD and assume that the required competence can be reached simply by "learning the software package".

■ CFD work layout:

- Define your problem;
- STEP 1: create mathematical model describing your process.
- STEP 2: discretize your equations on a given mesh.
- STEP 3: solve discrete equation (or system of equations).
- STEP 4: visualize and analyze simulation results.
- \blacksquare For STEP 1 STEP 3 we introduce errors.
	- STEP 1: assumptions in model description.
	- \blacksquare STEP 2: errors in discretization.
	- STEP 3: errors when solving discrete equation.
- Goal: try to minimize errors in all 3 steps.

Convection - diffusion equation.

Equation and meaning.

 \blacksquare We define following convection – diffusion equation:

$$
\frac{\partial(\rho\phi)}{\partial t} + \text{div}(\rho\phi\mathbf{u}) = \text{div}(\Gamma \text{ grad }\phi) + S_{\phi}
$$

■ Where ϕ is variable to solve, ρ – density, Γ – diffusion coefficient, u – velocity, S_{ϕ} - source term.

■ Meaning of the terms:

- There are various methods for discretizing the governing equations called discretization methods.
- Some popular discretization methods used in CFD tools are
	- \blacksquare the finite element method,
	- \blacksquare the finite volume method
	- the finite difference method
- For CFD simulations most popular is Finite Volume Method (FVD).
- Advantages of FVM:
	- The FVM is a natural choice for solving CFD problems because the PDEs you need to solve for CFD are conservation laws
	- The biggest advantage of the FVM is that it only must perform a flow evaluation for the cell boundaries.
	- \blacksquare FVM conserves the quantities.

■ We integrate equation over control volume (CV). CV can be associated to single mesh element.

$$
\int_{CV} \frac{\partial(\rho \phi)}{\partial t} dV + \int_{CV} div(\rho \phi \mathbf{u}) dV = \int_{CV} div(\Gamma \text{ grad } \phi) dV + \int_{CV} S_{\phi} dV
$$

■ Now we make use of Gauss divergence theorem

$$
\int_{CV} \text{div } \mathbf{a}dV = \int_{A} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a}dA
$$

■ where A denotes surface of control volume and $n -$ normal to surface vector.

■ Using Gauss divergence theorem we convert our equation to:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\int_{CV}\rho\phi dV\right) + \int_{A}\mathbf{n} \cdot (\rho\phi\mathbf{u})dA = \int_{A}\mathbf{n} \cdot (\Gamma \text{ grad }\phi)dA + \int_{CV}S_{\phi}dV
$$

■ terms meaning:

- In discrete form we write equation volumetric terms as:
	- Time derivative term:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\int_{CV} \rho \phi \ dV \right) = \frac{\partial (\rho \phi)}{\partial t} \delta CV
$$

■ Source term:

$$
\left(\int_{CV} S_{\phi} \, dV\right) = S_{\phi} \delta CV
$$

Offen

Control volume (CV)

Convection - diffusion equation.

Space discretization.

■ In discrete form we write equation surface terms as:

■ Convection term:

$$
\int_{A} n(\rho \phi u) dA = \sum_{f=\{e,w,n,s,t,b\}} n_f A_f \rho_f \phi_f u_f
$$

■ Diffusion term:

$$
\int\limits_A n\left(\Gamma\,\nabla\phi\right)\,dA = \sum\limits_{f=\{e,w,n,s,t,b\}} n_f A_f \Gamma_f \nabla\phi_f
$$

Control volume (CV)

Convection - diffusion equation.

Space discretization.

 \blacksquare We restrict discretization to x-axis (faces e,w) and assume constant density ρ :

- Convection term, many different schemes could be used.
- \blacksquare Simple 1st order accuracy in space $O(\delta x)$ scheme UPWIND reads as:

$$
A_e \rho_e \phi_e u_e = \begin{cases} \rho A_e \phi_P u_e & \text{if } u_e \ge 0\\ \rho A_e \phi_E u_e & \text{if } u_e < 0 \end{cases}
$$

■ Diffusion scheme:

$$
A_e \Gamma_e \nabla \phi_e = A_e \Gamma_e \frac{\phi_E - \phi_P}{\delta x} \qquad \Gamma_e = \frac{\Gamma_E + \Gamma_P}{2}
$$

■ Gradient approximated by truncated Taylor expansion (second order space accuracy $O(\delta x^2)$). Arithmetic average for diffusion coefficient could be used.

CV center points

Example 1 Let assume time space is in steps with time step dt, we denote "new" time step values with superscript " $t +$ 1 "and previous time step values with superscript " t "

 \blacksquare Time derivative term is now approximated with backward Euler 1st order time approximation $O(\delta t)$:

$$
\frac{\partial(\rho\phi)}{\partial t}\delta CV = \rho\frac{\phi_P^{t+1} - \phi_P^t}{dt}\delta CV
$$

 \blacksquare Final decision is which scheme to use:

- **Explicit** all discretized terms used from previous time step, all ϕ values used as ϕ_P^t , ϕ_E^t
- Implicit all discretized term used in new time step, all ϕ values used as ϕ_P^{t+1} , ϕ_E^{t+1}

■ Explicit scheme straightforward to implement, however such schemes require time step stability restrictions:

■ Convection: The Courant–Friedrichs–Lewy (CFL) condition: $\text{CFL} = \frac{dt \cdot u}{dx}$ $\frac{d}{dx}$ < 1

Diffusion: $dt < \frac{1}{2}$ 2 dx^2 Γ

- Fully implicit time discretization is unconditionally stable.
- However, it requires solution of linear algebra systems.
- **■** We convert discretized system into algebraic system of the form: $\bar{A} \cdot x = b$
- **■** Coefficients appearing in all discretized term build up matrix \overline{A}
- **Source term, time step part (with** ϕ_P^t **) and discretized terms from outer geometry boundary parts build up** vector $$
- Vector $x = \{..., \phi_W^{t+1}, \phi_P^{t+1}, \phi_E^{t+1}, ...$
- Many different methods to solve such systems, like iterative methods (CG, GMRES, BiCGstab, multigrid) **■** To speedup solution of linear algebra systems preconditioners used. Here one tries to approximate M \approx \bar{A} , where matrix M is easily invertible. Then one solves $M^{-1} \overline{A} \cdot x = M^{-1} b$. Note that $M^{-1} \overline{A}$ has lower condition number, which results in faster convergence.

Convection - diffusion equation.

■ Fully implicit time discretization:

$$
\rho \frac{\phi_P^{t+1} - \phi_P^t}{dt} \delta CV + \sum_{f = \{e, w, n, s, t, b\}} n_f A_f \rho_f \phi_f^{t+1} u_f = \sum_{f = \{e, w, n, s, t, b\}} n_f A_f \Gamma_f \nabla \phi_f^{t+1} + S_\phi \delta CV
$$

■ Fully explicit discretization:

$$
\rho \frac{\phi_P^{t+1} - \phi_P^t}{dt} \delta CV + \sum_{f = \{e, w, n, s, t, b\}} n_f A_f \rho_f \phi_f^t u_f = \sum_{f = \{e, w, n, s, t, b\}} n_f A_f \Gamma_f \nabla \phi_f^t + S_\phi \delta CV
$$

 \blacksquare Mixed implicit – explicit discretization possible.

Convection - diffusion equation. **Boundary conditions.**

■ We will consider 3 different boundary conditions:

■ Dirichlet boundary: $\phi_{wall} = \bar{\phi}$, here we fix value at the boundary "wall" to pre-defined $\bar{\phi}$

Neumann boundary: $\frac{\partial \phi_{wall}}{\partial n} = \bar{\phi}$, here we fix normal gradient at the boundary wall to pre-defined $\bar{\phi}$. Note: when $\bar{\phi}$ =0, we assume no change of ϕ in the wall direction.

■ Flux condition: $\Gamma \nabla \phi \cdot n = \alpha_w (\phi_{wall} - \phi_{ext}) \cdot n$, here be balance fluxes from internal geometry part with flux from outside computational part by assuming external value ϕ_{ext} and parameter α_w . Note, for very high values of α_w this boundary condition approaches Dirichlet boundary (with value ϕ_{ext}) and for very low values of α_w we approach zero Neumann boundary condition.

■ Forthcoming simulation results are performed on 2D square geometry of size [0,0.2]x[0,0.2] (m)

■ We start with simple diffusion equation:

 ∂T ∂t $=\Gamma \Delta T$

- We will test different:
	- \blacksquare Initial conditions
	- Boundary conditions (E, W, N, S)
	- Diffusivity values.

■ Case 1:

- ◼ Diffusion value Γ=0.0001
- \blacksquare Initial value 22;
- **Boundary condition (Dirichlet):** $T_W = 40$, $T_E = 40$, $T_N = 40$, $T_S = 40$
- As expected, uniform steady state solution.

■ Case 2:

- ◼ Diffusion value Γ=0.0001
- Initial value 22;

Boundary condition: (Dirichlet) $T_W = 40$, $T_E = 20$, (Neumann) $\frac{\partial T_N}{\partial n} = 0$, $\frac{\partial T_S}{\partial n} = 0$

■ As expected, linear drop from value 40 to 20 in x-axis direction and uniform distribution in y-axis direction.

 $T=20$

 0.20

■ Case 3:

- ◼ Diffusion value Γ=0.0001
- Initial value 22;
- Boundary condition: $T_W = 35, T_E = 22, T_N = 40, T_S = 28$.

■ Case 4:

- ◼ Diffusion value Γ=0.00001
- Initial value: non-uniform;
- Boundary condition: $T_W = 40, T_E = 40, T_N = 40, T_S = 40$.
- Uniform steady state solution.

■ Case 5:

- Diffusion value Γ=0.0001,0.00001, 0.000001
- Initial value: non-uniform;
- Boundary condition: $T_W = 40$, $T_E = 40$, $T_N = 40$, $T_S = 40$.

■ Diffusion parameter has impact on speed of diffusion.

■ Case 5:

- Diffusion value Γ=0.0001,0.00001, 0.000001
- Initial value: non-uniform;
- Boundary condition: $T_W = 40$, $T_E = 40$, $T_N = 40$, $T_S = 40$.

■ Diffusion parameter has impact on speed of diffusion and smooths out initial oscillations.

■ Case 6:

- ◼ Diffusion value Γ=0.0001
- Initial value: 22;
- Boundary condition 1: $T_W = 40, T_E = 40, T_N = 40, T_S = 40$.
- **Boundary condition 2: Flux on all boundaries with** $\alpha_w = 0.5$ **and** $T_{ext} = 40$
- Diffusion parameter has impact on speed of diffusion and smooths out initial oscillations.

■ Forthcoming simulation results are performed on 2D square geometry of size [0,0.2]x[0,0.2] (m)

■ We consider simple convection equation:

$$
\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + u \cdot \nabla s = 0
$$

- We will test different:
	- \blacksquare Initial conditions
	- Boundary conditions (E, W, N, S)
	- Velocity fields.
	- Different diffusion schemes.

■ Note, that all convection schemes show artificial diffusion that

may affect accuracy of the solution.

\blacksquare Case 1:

- Constant convection velocity: $u = (0.02, 0)$.
- Initial value: $s = 0$;
- **Boundary condition:** $s_W = 1$, $\frac{\partial s_E}{\partial n}$ $\frac{\partial s_E}{\partial n} = 0$, $\frac{\partial s_N}{\partial n}$ $\frac{\partial s_N}{\partial n} = 0$, $\frac{\partial s_S}{\partial n}$ $\frac{\partial s_S}{\partial n} = 0.$
- 4 different convection schemes used.
	- Upwind
	- TVD superbee
	- ADBQUICKEST
	- STACS

■ Note, we do not discuss in detail implementation issues for all those schemes.

Simulation examples.

Convection equation.

■ We consider now Upwind scheme.

■ Using Taylor expansion one can determine the local truncation error of discretization scheme.

■ For upwind scheme one can show that dropping first order terms from Taylor expansion

leads to artificial numerical diffusion term: $\frac{u \cdot dx}{2}(1 - CFL) \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial x^2}$ ∂x^2

- \blacksquare Recall, *CFL* is Courant–Friedrichs–Lewy value.
- This means that we can reduce numerical diffusion in 2 ways:
	- \blacksquare use finer mesh (reduction of dx)
	- **use time step such that** $CFL = 1$ **, however for explicit scheme this may lead to**

instabilities. For $CFL > 1$ explicit schemes are instable.

■ We consider now Upwind scheme for different simulation setup.

■ Note that for fluid dynamics application no possible to choose time step to satisfy $CFL \approx 1$ **in all grid elements.**

- Case 2 so called Zalesak disc:
	- rotational velocity wit center of 0.1.
	- Initial value: disc with cut out located away from middle point $(0.1, 0.1)$;
	- Boundary condition: $\frac{\partial s_W}{\partial n} = 0$, $\frac{\partial s_E}{\partial n} = 0$, $\frac{\partial s_N}{\partial n}$ $\frac{\partial s_N}{\partial n} = 0$, $\frac{\partial s_S}{\partial n}$ $\frac{\partial s_S}{\partial n} = 0.$
- 4 different convection schemes used.
	- Upwind
	- TVD superbee
	- ADBQUICKEST
	- STACS
- Simulation stops after one full revolution.

- Case 2: Zalesak disc, one revolution
- \blacksquare Simulation time 1 (s).

- Case 2:
- \blacksquare Simulated time: 1(s)
- Only STACS retains original shape after one revolution. However, also for STACS front diffuses as well.

Summary and outlook.

- Short introduction to scientific computing has been presented.
- Several simulation examples of convection, diffusion type have been showed and discussed.
- REMEMBER: Do not trust any simulation tool ! Always analyze simulation results to be more confident that simulation provide correct output.
- Next lecture:
	- Some aspects of flow equations will be discussed.
	- Newtonian, non-Newtonian flows.
	- Industrial application examples.

