An optimal control intervention for the interrelated dynamics of TB transmission in humans and animals amidst seasonal flux.

B. A. Peter<sup>1</sup>, J. A. Akingbade<sup>2\*</sup> and O. M. Bamigbola<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Kampala International University, Uganda. <sup>2\*,2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria. Email: benjamin.aina@kiu.ac.ug<sup>1</sup>, 19-68dr001pg@students.unilorin.edu.ng<sup>2\*</sup>,ombamigbola@unilorin.edu.ng<sup>2</sup>

International Conference and Advance Workshop on Modelling and Simulation of Complex Systems

# OUTLINE

# Abstract

### 2 Introduction

- 3 Materials and Methods
- Mathematical Conceptions and Analogies
- Qualitative Analysis of the Model
  - Population dynamics
  - Boundedness and Positivity of the Model's Solution
  - Disease-free Stability of the System
  - The fundamental reproductive number of the model
  - The model's ecological equilibrium
  - Local stability analysis of the disease-free equilibrium state
- Optimal Control Solution of the Model
  - Optimal Control System
- 7 Numerical Simulation
- 8 Discussion
- Onclusion
- REFERENCES

### Abstract

Tuberculosis (TB) is a global health concern, affecting both humans and animals. This paper provides a dynamic model to analyze the complicated dynamics of tuberculosis transmission, taking into account human-animal interactions and a saturated incidence rate with seasonal changes. Furthermore, the model accounts for the efficiency of measures targeted at reducing the spread of tuberculosis (TB). This study intends to give insights into the intricate interplay between TB transmission patterns, seasonal changes, and the efficacy of control measures by combining epidemiological concepts with ecological dynamics. The study uses simulation and analysis to support targeted intervention techniques and policy decisions for reducing tuberculosis transmission in a shared human-animal habitat. When both control measures are taken, the alpha parameter, which represents awareness among the general public, increases, the  $K_{Ea}$  parameter, which represents efficacy of drugs, increases, and the beta and b parameters decrease, and the population of infectious individuals decreases  $v_j = 0$ ,  $R_0 = 2.269426314$ , when  $v_j = 0.5$ ,  $b_a = 0.05$  and  $\beta_h = 0.04$ ,  $R_0 = 0.575348645$  and when  $v_1 = 1.1$ ,  $v_2 = 1.5$ ,  $b_a = 0.05$  and  $\beta_h = 0.01$ ,  $R_0 = 0.001144038597$ ). It is seen that increased

awareness among the general public and the efficacy of therapy will subsequently prevent cases of infection at the end of the control program. It is concluded that public awareness and the efficacy of therapy should be incorporated into the control program for an optimal control strategy for TB infection to be curtailed in the hosts.

**Keywords**: Seasonal variations, TB spread, diverse populations, interconnected dynamics, and combined control techniques.

(日) (同) (日) (日)

Tuberculosis (TB) is shorten for tubercles bacillus an airborne disease caused by the rod-shaped bacteria pathogen Mycobacterium tuberculosis bacillus (MTB).

Tuberculosis (TB) is a chronic sickness that kills individuals. Controlling the illness is difficult due to its complicated epidemiology and lack of understanding.

TB progression in susceptible persons starts with MTBC infection [1].

The sickness may remain latent for some years before becoming active. TB can also be acquired by co-infection with other diseases [2].

The mathematical model is a useful tool for evaluating infectious disease control efforts [3]. Mathematical models have substantially improved our understanding of the difficulties of TB transmission.

During the latent period, the pathogen has little metabolic activity until it is revived by a weakened host. Each year, tuberculosis kills over a million people, and in 2019, it was the largest cause of mortality from a single infectious agent. Over the last three decades, there have been several global attempts to combat tuberculosis (TB). The WHO's End TB Strategy intends to accelerate progress by lowering tuberculosis incidence and fatalities by 90% and 95%, respectively, between 2015 and 2035. Blower et al. developed the fundamental mathematical equations for TB in 1995 [12]. Several computational theories for TB have been created over time due to its preventability and curability concepts [10-21].

ъ

Despite various studies have been conducted on dynamical TB models transmitted between human beings, there has been less study on models' optimal control on the spread between humans and animals. The objectives of the model are as follows:

- (i) To examine human-animal TB model optimal control strategies
- (ii) To incorporate timely public awareness and efficacy of treatment in the control strategies

5/37

To accomplish the purpose of this study, a compartmental deterministic model was established and examined with a system of ordinary differential equations. The model's fundamental reproduction number is computed. The stability of the model's equilibrium points was investigated using Jacobian matrix theory and Routh-Hurwitz's criterion. A deterministic compartmental model of TB is proposed. The population most vulnerable is determined by the rate of birth and immigration, and the total population at any one moment is written as  $N_h \& N_a$ . The dynamics of the spread of tuberculosis in an endemic population with effective strategy control are investigated. Exogenous reinfection happens shortly after the initial infection. Infected individuals have a higher chance of progressing and becoming infectious. Individuals who are infected but do not become infectious quickly may nevertheless acquire active tuberculosis by exogenous or endogenous reinfection, or both. Equation (1) describes the model and shows how exogenous reinfection affects the dynamics of tuberculosis.

# Mathematical Conceptions and Analogies ||



#### Figure:

A 
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_h}{dt} &= \pi_h + (\Gamma_h + \varepsilon_h)R_h - \frac{b_a\beta_h l_a S_h}{(1 + \alpha l_a)} - (\phi_h + \mu_h (1 - k_{Eh}))S_h + \xi_h l_h \\ \frac{dE_h}{dt} &= \frac{b_a\beta_h (1 - \rho)l_a S_h}{(1 + \alpha l_a)} - (\vartheta_h + \nu_h + \sigma_h + \gamma_h + (1 - \tau_h) + \mu_h (1 + K_{Eh}))E_h - \delta E_h + \nu_2(t)l_h - (1 - \nu_1(t))E_h, \\ \frac{dl_h}{dt} &= \frac{pb_a\beta_h l_a S_h}{(1 + \alpha l_a)} + (\vartheta_h + \gamma_h + \nu_h + (1 - \tau_h)\mu_h)E_h + \omega_h R_h - (\tau_h + \eta_h + \rho_h + (1 - \Lambda_h) + \xi_h + \mu_h + \psi_h)l_h + \\ \delta E_h - (1 - \theta)\sigma\rho l_h + (1 - \nu_1(t))E_h - \nu_2(t)l_h \\ \frac{dA_h}{dt} &= (1 - \Lambda_h)l_h + (\tau_h + \rho_h)l_h - (\zeta_h + \mu_h)A_h, \\ \frac{dR_h}{dt} &= \zeta_h A - (\Gamma_h + \varepsilon_h + \mu_h)R_h - \omega_h R_h + \eta_h l_h, \\ \frac{dS_a}{dt} &= (1 - K_{Ea})\pi_a - \frac{b_a\beta_a(1 - q)l_h S_a}{(1 + \alpha l_h)} - \mu_a(1 + \rho_a K_{Ea})S_a. \\ \frac{dE_a}{dt} &= (\vartheta_a + \nu_a)E_a - (\zeta_a + \lambda_a + \mu_a)l_a. \end{aligned}$$

May 27, 2024

(1)

Table: Variable and Parameter Descriptions

Variables	Descriptions
$S_h(t)$	The total population of vulnerable human's population
$E_h(t)$	The total population of unprotected human's population
$I_h(t)$	The total population of indicative or infected human's population
$A_h(t)$	Persons who are infectious but not infected, or the entire population of asymptomatic people
$R_h(t)$	The total population of recovered human's population
$S_a(t)$	The total population of exposed animals
$E_a(t)$	The total population of vulnerable animals
$I_a(t)$	The total population of vector-borne disease animals
$N_a(t)$	The total population of human
Parameter	
$\gamma_h$	Advancement from exposed
$\eta_h$	Cure rate
ω	Relapse to active TB
ζa	Quarantine rate of infected animal
q	Susceptible human who came in contact with infection animal
р	Susceptible animal who came in contact with infection human
K <sub>Ea</sub>	Efficacy of treatment
$\delta(t)$	Seasonal variations
ba	The average animal carrier rate
$\varphi_h$	The vulnerable protected
ρ	Vaccinated
$\beta_h$	Probability of infection of susceptible human population per animals
$\beta_a$	The probability of infection of susceptible vectors-borne per animals
$\pi_h$	The birth rate of human being
$\pi_h$	The birth rate of the animals

May 27, 2024

10/37

2

#### Table: Variable and Parameter Descriptions

Parameters	Descriptions			
ν <sub>a</sub>	The per capital progression rate of exposed animals			
$\mu_a$	The natural death of animal			
$\mu_h$	The natural death of human's population			
ξh	The reinfection rate of recovered human's population due to ineffective treatment			
$ au_h$	Disease progression rate			
$\wedge_a$	TB-induced death rate for infectious human's population			
$\epsilon_h$	The rate of recuperation (recovered) rate due to treatment			
$\nu_h$	Human's progression rate from exposed			
Γ <sub>h</sub>	Natural immunity gain in human population			
$\frac{b_a\beta I}{1+\alpha I}$	$\frac{a\beta I}{b \alpha I}$ Saturated incidence rate			
<b>-</b>   a.	Individual moves back from to due to ineffective therapy			
$\psi_h$	Public awareness of TB			
α	Saturated incidence rate change into a symptomatic compartment			
$\sigma$	Movement rate from treated			
θ	Failure rate of treated human population			
$\frac{b_a\beta_h(1-p)I_aS_h}{(1-p)I_aS_h}$	Exogenous reinfection			
$(1 + \alpha I_a)$				
Control Parameter				
<i>v</i> <sub>1</sub>	Immunization			
V2	l herapy			

### **Population dynamics**

The population of humans  $N_h(t)$  h is expressed as follows:

$$N_h(t) = S_h + E_h + I_h + A_h + R_h,$$
 (2)

Consequently, the overall number of animals  $N_a(t)$  is being established as

$$N_b(t) = S_a + E_a + I_a, \tag{3}$$

The derivatives of equations (2) and (3) yield

$$\frac{dN_h}{dt} = \frac{dS_h}{dt} + \frac{dE_h}{dt} + \frac{dI_h}{dt} + \frac{dA_h}{dt} + \frac{dR_h}{dt},\tag{4}$$

and

$$\frac{dN_a}{dt} = \frac{dS_a}{dt} + \frac{dE_a}{dt} + \frac{dI_a}{dt}.$$
(5)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

3

Combining equations (1), (4) and (5) together yield

$$\frac{dN_h}{dt} = \pi_h - \mu_h N_h - (\phi_h + \mu_h k_{Eh}) S_h - (\sigma_h + (1 - \tau_h) + \tau_h \mu_h) E_h - \psi_h I_h + (1 - \theta) \sigma \rho I_h,$$

$$\frac{dN_a}{dt} = (1 - K_{Ea}) \pi_a - \mu_a N_a - \mu_a \rho_a K_{Ea} S_a + \mu_a (1 + \rho_a K_{Ea})) E_a + \nu_a E_a - (\zeta_a + \lambda_a) I_a.$$
(6)

The model system's fundamental features (1) are used to establish the criteria for solution positivity and system wellness. The feasibility of the model's solution (1) is evaluated based on its biological relevance in the researched location. The feasibility of the model which describes the region in which the solution of the model (1) is investigated because of its biological important.

#### Theorem

As t approaches  $\infty$ , the solution  $\Omega$  of the model (1) with initial conditions in  $\Re_+$  (set of vectors with eight non-negative components) approaches and remains in the solution's domain. Then, feasible The solution for the model is a positively invariant set provided by

$$\Omega = \Omega_h \times \Omega_v = \left\{ (S_h + E_h + I_h + A_h + R + S_a + E_a + I_a) \in \Re^{\mathbf{8}}_+ : N_h(t) \le \frac{\pi}{\mu_h}, N_a(t) \le \frac{(1 - K_{Ea})\pi_a}{\mu_a} \right\}.$$
(7)

From equation (6), In the absence of the disease

$$\frac{dN_h}{dt} = \pi_h - \mu_h N_h. \tag{8}$$

Following Birkhoff and Rota's (1989) equation yields

$$\frac{dN_h}{dt} \le \pi_h - \mu_h N_h,\tag{9}$$

Integrating and assuming  $0 \le N_h \le \frac{\pi_h}{\mu_h}$ , the model Eq. (1) channels are confined.

Therefore, the solution set for equation (7) is a compact forward persistent set for system equation (7).

When  $N_h > \frac{\pi_h}{\mu_h}$  (exceeds  $\frac{\pi_h}{\mu_h}$ ),  $\frac{dN}{dt} < 0$ . For t > 0, all solutions with a beginning condition in  $\mathbf{R}^8_+$  stay in the domain  $\Omega_{ha}$ , a non-negatve invariant set under the model's flow (1). The system has epidemiological significance and is mathematically well-posed inside the domain  $\Omega_{ha}$ . To ensure that the population is free of bacteria, the diseased status will be set to zero. Equation (1) at DFE becomes

$$\mathbb{E}^{0} = (S_{h}^{0}, E_{h}^{0}, I_{h}^{0}, A_{h}^{0}, R_{h}^{0}, S_{a}^{0}, E_{a}^{0}, I_{a}^{0}) \\ = \left(\frac{\pi_{h}}{(\phi_{h} + \mu_{h}(1 - k_{Eh}))}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{(1 - K_{Ea})\pi_{a}}{\mu_{a}(1 + \rho_{a}K_{Ea})}\right).$$
(10)

Image: Image:

### The fundamental reproductive number of the mode |

To calculate the effective reproduction number  $R_0$ , the differential equations withing the compartments are employed to calculate the rate of fresh infection  $(\mathcal{F}_i)$ , and transfer into and out of the infected and recovered compartments  $(\mathcal{V}_i)$  as shown in Figure ??

$$\mathcal{F}_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{b_{a}\beta_{h}(1-p)l_{a}S_{h}}{(1+\alpha l_{a})} \\ \frac{pb_{a}\beta_{h}l_{a}S_{h}}{(1+\alpha l_{a})} \\ \frac{b_{a}\beta_{a}(1-q)l_{h}S_{a}}{(1+\alpha l_{h})} \\ \frac{b_{a}\beta_{a}(1-q)l_{h}S_{a}}{(1+\alpha l_{h})} \end{pmatrix},$$
(11)

At DFE, 
$$I_h^0 = I_a^0 = 0$$
  

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_a\beta_h(1-p)S_h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & b_b\beta_hS_h \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_a\beta_a(1-q)S_a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_a\beta_a(1-q)S_a & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(12)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_i = \begin{pmatrix} (\vartheta_h + \nu_h + \sigma_h + \gamma_h + (1-\tau_h) + \mu_h(1+K_{Eh}))E_h + \delta E_h - \nu_2(t)I_h + (1-\nu_1(t))E_h \\ -(\vartheta_h + \gamma_h + \nu_h + (1-\tau_h)\mu_h)E_h - \omega_hB_h + (\tau_h + \eta_h + \rho_h + (1-\Lambda_h) + \xi_h + \mu_h + \psi_h)I_h - \delta E_h + \\ (1-\theta)\sigma_h\dots - (1-\nu_1(t))E_h + \nu_2(t)I_h \\ -(\theta_a - \mu_a(1+\rho_aK_{Ea}))E_a - \zeta_aI_a \\ -(\vartheta_a + \nu_a)E_a + (\zeta_a + \lambda_a + \mu_a)I_a \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(13)$$

### The fundamental reproductive number of the mode II

Obtaining the partial derivatives of (13) with respect to  $E_h$ ,  $I_h$ ,  $A_h$ ,  $E_a$  and  $I_a$  respectively yield

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & -v_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -X_6 & X_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X_7 & X_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & X_4 & -\zeta_a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -X_8 & X_5 \end{bmatrix}$$
(14)

$$\begin{split} & X_{1} = (\vartheta_{h} + \nu_{h} + \sigma_{h} + \gamma_{h} + (1 - \tau_{h}) + \mu_{h}(1 + \mathcal{K}_{Eh}) + \delta + (1 - \nu_{1})), X_{2} = \\ & (\tau_{h} + \eta_{h} + \rho_{h} + (1 - \wedge_{h}) + \xi_{h} + \mu_{h} + \psi_{h} + \nu_{2}) + (1 - \theta)\sigma\rho, X_{3} = (\zeta_{h} + \mu_{h}), X_{4} = (\vartheta_{a} - \mu_{a}(1 + \rho_{a}\mathcal{K}_{Ea})), X_{5} = \\ & (\zeta_{a} + \lambda_{a} + \mu_{a}), X_{6} = (\vartheta_{h} + \gamma_{h} + \nu_{h} + (1 - \tau_{h})\mu_{h} + \delta), X_{7} = -(1 - \wedge_{h} + \tau_{h} + \rho_{h}), X_{8} = (\vartheta_{a} + \nu_{a}) \end{split}$$

《曰》 《圖》 《臣》 《臣》

### The fundamental reproductive number of the mode III

Then the eigenvalues of the matrix (15) are computed with

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{1} &= 0 \\ \lambda_{2} &= 0 \\ \lambda_{3} &= 0 \\ \lambda_{4} &= \frac{\sqrt{M\beta_{a}S_{a}S_{b}X_{4}\left(X_{6}\beta_{h}\left(1-p\right)+X_{1}p\beta_{h}-qX_{6}\beta_{h}\left(1-p\right)-qX_{1}p\beta_{h}\right)b_{a}}{X_{2}X_{1}X_{5}X_{4}-X_{2}X_{1}X_{8}\zeta_{a}-X_{6}v_{2}X_{5}X_{4}+X_{6}v_{2}X_{8}\zeta_{a}} \\ \lambda_{5} &= -\frac{\sqrt{M\beta_{a}S_{a}S_{h}X_{4}\left(X_{6}\beta_{h}\left(1-p\right)+X_{1}p\beta_{h}-qX_{6}\beta_{h}\left(1-p\right)-qX_{1}p\beta_{h}\right)b_{a}}}{X_{2}X_{1}X_{5}X_{4}-X_{2}X_{1}X_{8}\zeta_{a}-X_{6}v_{2}X_{5}X_{4}+X_{6}v_{2}X_{8}\zeta_{a}}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, the basic reproduction number which is given by the largest eigenvalue for the model of TB denoted by  $R_0$  is given by

$$R_{0} = \rho(\mathcal{FV}^{-1}) = max \{\lambda_{4}, \lambda_{5}\}.$$
(16)

$$\therefore \quad R_{0} = \frac{\sqrt{M\beta_{a}S_{a}S_{b}X_{4}} \left(X_{6}\beta_{h}\left(1-p\right)+X_{1}p\beta_{h}-qX_{6}\beta_{h}\left(1-p\right)-qX_{1}p\beta_{h}\right)b_{a}}{X_{2}X_{1}X_{5}X_{4}-X_{2}X_{1}X_{8}\zeta_{a}-X_{6}v_{2}X_{5}X_{4}+X_{6}v_{2}X_{8}\zeta_{a}}$$
(17)

 $M = (X_2 X_1 X_5 X_4 - X_2 X_1 X_8 \zeta_a - X_6 v_2 X_5 X_4 + X_6 v_2 X_8 \zeta_a)$ 

### Remark

- i. If  $R_0 \ge 1$  then the disease infectious  $I_h$  exists
- ii. If  $R_0 < 1$  then disease eradicated in the population i.e  $I_h = 0$

B. A. Peter<sup>1</sup> , J. A. Akingbade<sup>2\*</sup> and O . M.An optimal control intervention for the interv

May 27, 2024

18/37

Solving system of equation (1) simultaneously in terms of  $R_0$  to obtain endemic equilibrium state yield the following

$$\mathbb{E}^{*} = \begin{cases} S_{h}^{*} = \frac{\pi_{h}}{(\phi_{h} + \mu_{h}(1 - k_{Eh}))R_{0}} \\ E_{h}^{*} = \frac{\pi_{h}}{R_{0}[R_{0}[(\vartheta_{a} - A_{6})A_{8} + A_{9}\zeta_{a}] + \alpha(1 - K_{Ea})\pi_{a}(R_{0} - 1)]A_{7}B_{1}G_{1}} \\ l_{h}^{*} = \frac{A_{6}(R_{0} - 1)}{A_{5} - \alpha A_{6}(R_{0} - 1)} \\ A_{h}^{*} = \frac{A_{2}A_{6}(R_{0} - 1)}{A_{3}A_{4}[A_{5} - \alpha A_{6}(R_{0} - 1)]} \\ R_{h}^{*} = \frac{[\zeta_{h}A_{2} + \eta_{h}A_{3}]A_{6}(R_{0} - 1)]}{A_{3}A_{4}[A_{5} - \alpha A_{6}(R_{0} - 1)]} \\ S_{a}^{*} = \frac{(1 - K_{Ea})\pi_{a}}{\mu_{a}(1 + \rho_{a}K_{Ea})R_{0}} \\ E_{a}^{*} = \frac{A_{6}(1 - K_{Ea})\pi_{a}(R_{0} - 1)}{[(\vartheta_{a} - A_{6})A_{8} + A_{9}\zeta_{a}]} \end{cases}$$
(18)

Where,

 $\begin{array}{l} A_1 = (\Gamma_h + \varepsilon_h), A_2 = (1 - \wedge_h + \tau_h + \rho_h), A_3 = (\zeta_h + \mu_h), A_4 = (\Gamma_h + \varepsilon_h + \mu_h + \omega_h), A_5 = b_3\beta_3(1 - q), A_6 = \mu_a(1 + \rho_aK_{E_3}), A_7 = (\phi_h + \mu_h(1 - k_{E_h})), A_8 = (\zeta_a + \lambda_a + \mu_a), A_9 = (\phi_a + \nu_a), B_1 = A_5 - \alpha A_6(R_0 - 1), G_1 = (\phi_h + \nu_h + \sigma_h + \gamma_h + (1 - \tau_h) + \mu_h(1 + K_{E_h}) + \delta + (1 - \nu_1(t))), P_1 = b_3\beta_h\pi_h\pi_a(1 - \rho)(1 - K_{E_3})B_1, P_2 = R_0(R_0((\phi_a - A_6)A_8 + A_9\zeta_a) + \alpha(1 - K_{E_3})\pi_a(R_0 - 1))A_7\nu_2(t)A_6 \end{array}$ 

Thus, if  $R_0 > 1$ , then  $I_h^* > 0$  and  $I_a^* > 0$  then model equation in Eq. (1) has a unique endemic equilibrium given by  $\mathbb{E}^* = (S_h^*, E_h^*, I_h^*, A_h^*, R_h^*, S_a^*, E_a^*, I_a^*)$  which in the presence of infection  $(l \neq 0)$  is defined.

Therefore, to ensure the existence of a positive endemic-equilibrium, it is required that  $R_0>1.$ 

Since  $(S_h^*, E_h^*, I_h^*, A_h^*, R_h^*, S_a^*, E_a^*, I_a^*) > 0$  (when  $R_0 > 1$ ), the endemic-equilibrium  $\mathbb{E}^*$  is positive and  $I_{h,a}^* > 0$ . This is the condition for the existence and uniqueness of the endemic-equilibrium state for the model (1).

To determine the stability or otherwise of the disease - free equilibrium point  $\mathbb{E}^0$ , we examine the behaviour of the model population near the equilibrium solution. Here, we compute the Jacobian matrix J of model Eq. (1) The method of trace and determinant was used to evaluate system of equations (1) without explicitly calculating eigenvalues.

### Theorem

: The disease-free equilibrium state  $\mathbb{E}^0$  is locally asymptotically stable if  $R_0 < 1$  for  $tr(J_{\mathbb{E}^0}) < 0$  and  $det(J_{\mathbb{E}^0}) > 0$ , and unstable if  $R_0 > 1$  for  $tr(J_{\mathbb{E}^0}) > 0$  and  $det(J_{\mathbb{E}^0}) < 0$ .

 $\mathsf{Proof.}$  The Jacobian matrix evaluated at the DFE  $(\mathbb{E}^0)$  was constructed and obtained as:

$$J_{\mathbb{E}^{0}} = \begin{pmatrix} -W_{1} & 0 & \xi_{h} & 0 & W_{2} & 0 & 0 & -W_{13} \\ 0 & -W_{3} & v_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & W_{14} \\ 0 & W_{4} & -W_{5} & 0 & \omega_{h} & 0 & 0 & W_{15} \\ 0 & 0 & W_{6} & -W_{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \eta_{h} & \zeta_{h} & -W_{8} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & W_{16} & 0 & 0 & -W_{9} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & W_{17} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -W_{10} & \zeta_{a} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & W_{11} & -W_{12} \end{pmatrix}$$
(19)

The matrix  $J^0(\mathbb{E}^0)$  in eqn. (19) of dimension (8) is stable if its trace is negative i.e  $tr(J^0\mathbb{E}^0) < 0$  and its determinant is positive i.e  $det(J^0\mathbb{E}^0)) \ge 0$ .

21 / 37

The trace of matrix  $J^{\mathbf{0}}(\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{0}})$  is obtained as:

$$tr(J^{+}) = -W_{1} - W_{3} - W_{5} - W_{7} - W_{8} - W_{9} - W_{10} - W_{12}$$
  
= -(W\_{1} + W\_{3} + W\_{5} + W\_{7} + W\_{8} + W\_{9} + W\_{10} + W\_{12})  
$$\therefore \quad tr(\mathbb{E}^{0}) < 0$$
(20)

2

A B A B
 A B
 A B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A

Also, the determinant of matrix  $J^{m 0}(\mathbb{E}^{m 0})$  is generated as

$$\begin{split} &det J^{0}(\mathbb{E}^{0}) = [W_{10}W_{12} [W_{8}W_{7}W_{3}W_{5} - (W_{4}W_{8}W_{7}\nu_{2} + W_{6}\omega_{h}W_{3}\zeta_{h} + \omega_{h}W_{3}W_{7}\eta_{h})] + W_{4}W_{11}W_{8}W_{7}(\zeta_{a}\nu_{2} - W_{17}W_{14}) \\ &W_{3}W_{11}\zeta_{a}(\omega_{h}W_{6}\zeta_{h} - W_{8}W_{7}W_{5}) + W_{11}W_{3}W_{7}(\zeta_{a}\omega_{h}\eta_{h} - W_{8}W_{17}W_{15})]W_{1}W_{9} \\ &\text{Hence,} \\ &det(J^{+}) \geq 0 \\ &\text{if and only if} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{8}}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{7}}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{3}}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{5}} \geq \left(\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{4}}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{8}}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{7}}\nu_{2} + \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{6}}\omega_{h}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{3}}\zeta_{h} + \omega_{h}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{3}}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{7}}\eta_{h}\right), \\ & \zeta_{s}\nu_{2} \geq \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{17}}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{14}}, \omega_{h}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{6}}\zeta_{h} \geq \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{8}}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{7}}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{5}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{s}\omega_{h}\eta_{h} \geq \mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{8}}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{17}}\mathcal{W}_{\mathbf{15}} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{Where}, \\ & \text{W}_1 = (\phi_h + \mu_h (1 - k_{Eh})), \text{W}_2 = (\Gamma_h + \varepsilon_h), \text{W}_3 = (\vartheta_h + \nu_h + \sigma_h + \gamma_h + (1 - \tau_h) + \mu_h (1 + K_{Eh}) + \delta + (1 - \nu_1)), \text{W}_4 = (\vartheta_h + \gamma_h + \nu_h + (1 - \tau_h) + \mu_h + \delta + (1 - \nu_1)), \text{W}_5 = (\tau_h + \eta_h + \rho_h + (1 - \Lambda_h) + \xi_h + \mu_h + \psi_h + (1 - \theta) \sigma \rho + \nu_2), \text{W}_6 = (1 - \Lambda_h + \tau_h + \rho_h), \text{W}_7 = (\zeta_h + \mu_h), \text{W}_8 = (\Gamma_h + \varepsilon_h + \mu_h + \omega_h), \text{W}_9 = \mu_a (1 + \rho_a K_{Ea}), \text{W}_{10} = (\vartheta_a - \mu_a (1 + \rho_a K_{Ea})), \text{W}_{11} = (\vartheta_a + \nu_a), \text{W}_{12} = (\zeta_a + \lambda_a + \mu_a), \text{W}_{13} = \frac{-b_a \beta_h \pi_h}{(\phi_h + \mu_h (1 - k_{Eh}))}, \text{W}_{14} = \frac{b_a \beta_h (1 - \rho) \pi_h}{(\phi_h + \mu_h (1 - k_{Eh}))}, \text{W}_{15} = (1 - \lambda_h) + \lambda_h + \lambda_h$$

 $\frac{pb_{\beta}\beta_{h}\pi_{h}}{(\phi_{h}+\mu_{h}(1-k_{Eh}))}, W_{16} = \frac{-b_{\beta}\beta_{2}(1-q)(1-K_{E3})\pi_{2}}{\mu_{2}(1+\rho_{3}K_{E3})}, W_{17} = \frac{b_{3}\beta_{3}(1-q)(1-K_{E3})\pi_{3}}{\mu_{3}(1+\rho_{3}K_{E3})}$  From above result for the trace and determinant of the matrix which shows that  $tr(J^{0}) < 0$  and  $det(J^{0}) > 0$ .

This proves that the disease-free equilibrium point is locally asymptotically stable. Biologically, this means that the disease dies out.

Conversely if  $R_0 > 1$  then  $tr(J^0) > 0$ . This would cause the determinant to be negative (i.e.  $det(J^0) < 0$ ) and making the disease free-equilibrium point unstable. Biologically, this means that the disease persists.

ヘロト ヘ回ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

One of the early reasons for studying TB infection is to improve the control variables and finally to put down the infection of the population.

Optimal control theory is a useful mathematical analysis tool that can be used to make the best decisions in controlling a given problem at the minimum cost.

The optimal control theory, which was developed by Pontryagin and his co-workers in the late 1950s, has been applied to many areas including economics, management, engineering, biology, physiology, and medicine.

To describe the mathematical model presented in (1), the below objective functional (21) was employed. Our aim, objectives and goals here is to seek an optimal control strategy to put down TB infection from population by reducing the exposed  $E_h(t)$  and infected  $I_h(t)$  individuals and also increasing the recovered individuals  $R_h(t)$  in a population and to minimizing the costs required to control the TB infection by using Immunization and therapy as the control variables  $v_1(t)$  and  $v_l t$ ) respectively.

Given the objective functional subject to equation. (1):

$$J(v_1, v_2) = \min_{v_1, v_2} \int_0^T \left[ A_1 E_h(t) + A_2 I_h(t) + \frac{1}{2} \left( B_1 v_1^2 + B_2 v_2^2 \right) \right] dt$$
(21)

(日) (同) (日) (日)

24 / 37

A1 & A2 are positive constants that are represented to keep a balance weight constants or balance factors in the size of  $E_h(t) \& I_h(t)$  group respectively;  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are constants relatively cost weights corresponding to the controls  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . For the control problem we assume that the initial time is zero,  $t_0 = 0$ , the final time  $t_1 = T$ . Thus, the terms  $B_1v_1^2$  and  $B_2v_2^2$  represents the costs associated with immunization and therapy, respectively. The square of the control variables is taken here to remove the severity of the controls. The form is quadratic because we assume that costs are non-linear in its nature. Here is the Hamiltonian for the optimal control problem in Eqs. (1) and (21).

$$H(S_{h}, V_{h}, E_{h}, I_{h}, Q, T, R, S_{b}, V_{b}, E_{b}, I_{b}, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}; t) = A_{1}E_{h}(t) + A_{2}I_{h}(t) + \frac{B_{1}}{2}v_{1}^{2} + \frac{B_{2}}{2}v_{2}^{2} + \lambda_{1}(t) \left(\pi_{h} + (\Gamma_{h} + \varepsilon_{h})R_{h} - \frac{b_{a}\beta_{h}I_{a}S_{h}}{(1 + \alpha I_{a})} - (\phi_{h} + \mu_{h}(1 - k_{Eh}))S_{h} + \xi_{h}I_{h}\right) + \lambda_{2}(t) \left(\frac{b_{a}\beta_{h}(1 - \rho)I_{a}S_{h}}{(1 + \alpha I_{a})} - (\vartheta_{h} + \nu_{h} + \sigma_{h} + \gamma_{h} + (1 - \tau_{h}) + \mu_{h}(1 + K_{Eh}))E_{h} - \delta E_{h} + v_{2}(t)I_{h} - (1 - v_{1}(t))E_{h}\right) + \lambda_{3}(t) \left(\frac{\rho b_{a}\beta_{h}I_{a}S_{h}}{(1 + \alpha I_{a})} + (\vartheta_{h} + \gamma_{h} + \nu_{h} + (1 - \tau_{h})\mu_{h})E_{h} + \omega_{h}R_{h} - (\tau_{h} + \eta_{h} + \rho_{h} + (1 - \Lambda_{h}) + \xi_{h} + \mu_{h} + \psi_{h})I_{h} + \delta E_{h} - (1 - \theta)\sigma\rho I_{h} + (1 - v_{1}(t))E_{h} - v_{2}(t)I_{h}\right) + \lambda_{4}(t) \left((1 - \Lambda_{h})I_{h} + (\tau_{h} + \rho_{h})I_{h} - (\zeta_{h} + \mu_{h})A_{h}\right) + \lambda_{5}(t) \left(\zeta_{h}A - (\Gamma_{h} + \varepsilon_{h} + \mu_{h})R_{h} - \omega_{h}R_{h} + \eta_{h}I_{h}\right) + \lambda_{6}(t) \left((1 - K_{Ea})\pi_{a} - \frac{b_{a}\beta_{a}(1 - q)I_{h}S_{a}}{(1 + \alpha I_{h})} - \mu_{a}(1 + \rho_{a}K_{Ea})S_{a}\right) + \lambda_{7}(t) \left(\frac{b_{a}\beta_{a}(1 - q)I_{h}S_{a}}{(1 + \alpha I_{h})} - (\vartheta_{a} - \mu_{a}(1 + \rho_{a}K_{Ea}))E_{a} + \zeta_{a}I_{a}\right) + \lambda_{8}(t) \left((\vartheta_{a} + \nu_{a})E_{a} - (\zeta_{a} + \lambda_{a} + \mu_{a})I_{a}\right)$$

$$(22)$$

The following theorem holds.

→ < ∃→

• • • • • • • • • • •

#### Theorem

#### (Necessary Conditions)

Given that  $(S_h^*, E_h^*, I_h^*, T^*, R^*, S_a^*, E_a^*, I_a^*)$  are optimal state solutions and  $(v_1, v_2)$  are associated optimal control variables for the optimal control problem (1), then there exist eleven (11) adjoint variables  $\lambda_i$  for i=1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8 which satisfying

$$\lambda_{1}^{\prime} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial S_{h}}, \\ \lambda_{2}^{\prime} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial E_{h}}, \\ \lambda_{3}^{\prime} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial I_{h}}, \\ \lambda_{4}^{\prime} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial A_{h}}, \\ \lambda_{5}^{\prime} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial R}, \\ \lambda_{6}^{\prime} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial S_{a}}, \\ \lambda_{7}^{\prime} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial E_{a}}, \\ \lambda_{8}^{\prime} = \frac{-\partial H}{\partial I_{a}}$$
(23)

with the transversality conditions (boundary conditions) or (final time conditions)  $\lambda_i(T) = 0$ , (i=1,2,3....8). with the optimal control pair given by

$$\begin{array}{l} v_{1}^{*}(t) = \min\left\{ \max\left(0, \frac{(\lambda_{3}^{*} - \lambda_{2}^{*})E_{h}^{*}}{B_{1}}\right), 1\right\} \\ v_{2}^{*}(t) = \min\left\{\max\left(0, \frac{(\lambda_{3}^{*} - \lambda_{2})I_{h}^{*}}{B_{2}}\right), 1\right\}. \end{array}$$

$$(24)$$

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

## **Optimal Control Solution of the Model IV**

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\lambda_{1}}{dt} &= \left[\lambda_{1}(t) - \lambda_{2}(t)\right] \frac{b_{a}\beta_{h}l_{a}}{(1+\alpha l_{a})^{2}} + \lambda_{1}(t)(\phi_{h} + \mu_{h}(1-\kappa_{Eh})) + \left[\lambda_{2}(t) - \lambda_{3}(t)\right] \frac{pb_{a}\beta_{h}l_{a}}{(1+\alpha l_{a})^{2}} \\ \frac{d\lambda_{2}}{dt} &= -A_{1} + \left[\lambda_{2}(t) - \lambda_{3}(t)\right](\vartheta_{h} + \nu_{h} + \gamma_{h} + \delta + (1-\mu_{h})(1-\tau_{h}) + (1-\nu_{1}(t))) + \lambda_{2}(t)\sigma_{h} + \lambda_{2}(t)(\mu_{h}(1+\kappa_{Eh})) \\ \frac{d\lambda_{3}}{dt} &= -A_{2} + \left[\lambda_{3}(t) - \lambda_{1}(t)\right]\xi_{h} + \left[\lambda_{3}(t) - \lambda_{2}(t)\right]\nu_{2}(t) + \lambda_{3}(t)(\mu_{h} + \psi_{h}) - \lambda_{3}(t)(1-\theta)\sigma\rho + \left[\lambda_{3}(t) - \lambda_{4}(t)\right](1-\wedge_{h}) \\ &+ \left[\lambda_{3}(t) - \lambda_{4}(t)\right]\tau_{h} + \left[\lambda_{3}(t) - \lambda_{4}(t)\right]\rho_{h} + \left[\lambda_{3}(t) - \lambda_{5}(t)\right]\eta_{h} + \left[\lambda_{6}(t) - \lambda_{7}(t)\right]\frac{b_{a}\beta_{a}(1-q)S_{a}}{(1+\alpha l_{h})^{2}} \\ \frac{d\lambda_{4}}{dt} &= \left[\lambda_{4}(t) - \lambda_{5}(t)\right]\zeta_{h} + \lambda_{4}(t)\mu_{h} \\ \frac{d\lambda_{5}}{dt} &= -\lambda_{1}(t)\pi_{h} + \left[\lambda_{5}(t) - \lambda_{1}(t)\right](\Gamma_{h} + \varepsilon_{h}) + \left[\lambda_{5}(t) - \lambda_{3}(t)\right]\omega_{h} + \lambda_{5}(t)\mu_{h} \\ \frac{d\lambda_{6}}{dt} &= \left[\lambda_{6}(t) - \lambda_{7}(t)\right]\frac{b_{a}\beta_{a}(1-q)l_{h}}{(1+\alpha l_{h})^{2}} + \lambda_{6}(t)\mu_{a}(1+\rho_{a}\kappa_{Ea}) \\ \frac{d\lambda_{7}}{dt} &= \left[\lambda_{7}(t) - \lambda_{8}(t)\right]\vartheta_{a} + \lambda_{7}(t)\mu_{a}(1+\rho_{a}\kappa_{Ea}) - \lambda_{8}(t)\nu_{a} \\ \frac{d\lambda_{8}}{dt} &= \left[\lambda_{1}(t) - \lambda_{2}(t)\right]\frac{b_{a}\beta_{h}S_{h}}{(1+\alpha l_{a})^{2}} + \left[\lambda_{2}(t) - \lambda_{3}(t)\right]\frac{pb_{a}\beta_{h}S_{h}}{(1+\alpha l_{a})^{2}} + \left[\lambda_{8}(t) - \lambda_{7}(t)\right]\zeta_{a} + \lambda_{8}(t)\lambda_{a} + \lambda_{8}(t)\mu_{a} \end{aligned}$$

$$(25)$$

Image: Image:

2

### **Optimal Control Solution of the Model V**

Optimal controls obtained after differentiating H w.r.t. v1 and v2

$$v_{1}^{*}(t) = \frac{\left[\lambda_{3}^{*}(t) - \lambda_{2}^{*}(t)\right] E_{h}^{*}(t)}{B_{1}}$$

$$v_{2}^{*}(t) = \frac{\left[\lambda_{3}^{*}(t) - \lambda_{2}^{*}(t)\right] I_{h}^{*}(t)}{B_{2}}$$
(26)

May 27, 2024

28 / 37

$$\begin{split} \dot{S}_{h}^{*} &= \pi_{h} + (\Gamma_{h} + \varepsilon_{h})R_{h} - \frac{b_{a}\beta_{h}l_{a}S_{h}}{(1 + \alpha l_{a})} - (\phi_{h} + \mu_{h}(1 - k_{Eh}))S_{h} + \xi_{h}l_{h} \\ \dot{E}_{h}^{*} &= \frac{b_{a}\beta_{h}(1 - \rho)l_{a}S_{h}}{(1 + \alpha l_{a})} - (\vartheta_{h} + \nu_{h} + \sigma_{h} + \gamma_{h} + (1 - \tau_{h}) + \mu_{h}(1 + K_{Eh}))E_{h} - \delta E_{h} + \\ \left( \min\left\{ \max\left(0, \frac{(\lambda_{3}^{*} - \lambda_{2}^{*})l_{h}^{*}}{B_{1}}\right), 1\right\} \right) l_{h} - \left(1 - \left(\min\left\{\max\left(0, \frac{(\lambda_{3}^{*} - \lambda_{2}^{*})E_{h}^{*}}{B_{1}}\right), 1\right\}\right)\right) E_{h}, \\ \dot{l}_{h}^{*} &= \frac{\rho b_{a}\beta_{h}l_{a}S_{h}}{(1 + \alpha l_{a})} + (\vartheta_{h} + \gamma_{h} + \nu_{h} + (1 - \tau_{h})\mu_{h})E_{h} + \omega_{h}R_{h} - (\tau_{h} + \eta_{h} + \rho_{h} + (1 - \wedge_{h}) + \xi_{h} + \mu_{h} + \psi_{h})l_{h} + \\ \delta E_{h} - (1 - \theta)\sigma\rho l_{h} + \left(1 - \left(\min\left\{\max\left(0, \frac{(\lambda_{3}^{*} - \lambda_{2}^{*})l_{h}^{*}}{B_{1}}\right), 1\right\}\right)\right)E_{h} - \left(\min\left\{\max\left(0, \frac{(\lambda_{3}^{*} - \lambda_{2}^{*})l_{h}^{*}}{B_{1}}\right), 1\right\}\right)\right)l_{h} \\ \dot{A}_{h}^{*} &= (1 - \wedge_{h})l_{h} + (\tau_{h} + \rho_{h})l_{h} - (\zeta_{h} + \mu_{h})A_{h}, \\ \dot{R}_{h}^{*} &= \zeta_{h}A - (\Gamma_{h} + \varepsilon_{h} + \mu_{h})R_{h} - \omega_{h}R_{h} + \eta_{h}l_{h}, \\ \dot{S}_{a}^{*} &= (1 - K_{Ea})\pi_{a} - \frac{b_{a}\beta_{a}(1 - q)l_{h}S_{a}}{(1 + \alpha l_{h})} - (\vartheta_{a} - \mu_{a}(1 + \rho_{a}K_{Ea})S_{a}. \\ \dot{I}_{a}^{*} &= (\vartheta_{a} + \nu_{a})E_{a} - (\zeta_{a} + \lambda_{a} + \mu_{a})l_{a}. \end{split}$$

B. A. Peter<sup>1</sup> , J. A. Akingbade<sup>2"</sup> and O . M.An optimal control intervention for the interv

#### Table: Parameter values of TB model

Symbol	Description	Symbol	Description
ϑ <sub>h</sub>	0.05	ba	0.05
$\nu_h$	0.02	Р	0.35
$\sigma_h$	0.01	ζa	0.02
$\gamma_h$	0.01	$\beta_h$	0.04
$\mu_h$	0.05	$\rho_h$	0.04
K <sub>Eh</sub>	0.001	P	0.09
K <sub>Ea</sub>	0.9	ρ	0.01
δ	0.6	$\phi_h$	0.6
$\eta_h$	0.06	$\pi_h$	1000
$\wedge_h$	0.0002	$\pi_a$	100
ξh	0.3	V1	[0-1]
$\psi_h$	0.8	V1	[0-1]
θ	0.26	α	[0.02 1.0]
σ	0.5	$\nu_h$	0.0016
ςh	0.04	$\tau_h$	0.05
$\mu_a$	0.027	ϑa	0.07
$\rho_a$	0.73	$\nu_a$	0.01
$\lambda_a$	0.05		

$$R_{0} = \frac{\sqrt{M\beta_{a}S_{a}S_{h}X_{4}\left(X_{6}\beta_{h}\left(1-p\right)+X_{1}p\beta_{h}-qX_{6}\beta_{h}\left(1-p\right)-qX_{1}p\beta_{h}\right)}b_{a}}{X_{2}X_{1}X_{5}X_{4}-X_{2}X_{1}X_{8}\zeta_{a}-X_{6}v_{2}X_{5}X_{4}+X_{6}v_{2}X_{8}\zeta_{a}}$$
(28)

Using the above parameters we will obtained the following When.

 $v_1 = 0.0, v_2 = 0.0, R_0 = 2.269426314, v_1 = 0.5, v_2 = 0.5, R_0 = 0.5753486745$ 

B. A. Peter<sup>1</sup>, J. A. Akingbade<sup>2\*</sup> and O. M.An optimal control intervention for the interv

(日) (同) (日) (日)

2

# Numerical Simulation II



Figure: Infected Compartment against time using Control strategy

A 
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

- ∢ ≣ →

# Numerical Simulation III



Figure: Exposed Compartment against time using Control strategy

Image: Image:

э

## Discussion |

The mathematical model used in the study to investigate the dynamics of TB transmission in both human and animal populations reveals a complex interplay of factors influencing disease propagation. Seasonal changes, saturation incidence rates, and therapeutic efficacy all contribute to a more thorough knowledge of how tuberculosis survives and evolves within communities. The model sheds light on an intriguing element of tuberculosis transmission: the seasonal flux. Seasonal fluctuations in temperature and environmental variables can have a considerable influence on disease dynamics, affecting both individual vulnerability and mycobacterium survival in the environment. Furthermore, the model emphasizes the idea of saturation incidence rates, in which the pool of susceptible people is depleted over time as a result of previous infections or therapies. As the frequency of TB in the community falls, so does the rate of new infections, eventually reaching saturation and making future reductions more difficult. This saturation effect emphasizes the significance of early and vigorous intervention methods to prevent the disease from becoming endemic. Furthermore, the success of treatments, such as vaccination campaigns, treatment programs, and public health initiatives, is critical in limiting TB transmission. The model enables researchers to investigate the possible effects of various intervention options and assess their efficacy in lowering disease burden. By optimizing resource allocation and adapting interventions to specific demographic features, TB control efforts will become more effective globally.

(日) (同) (日) (日)

э

32 / 37

Under certain conditions, the unique endemic equilibrium becomes globally asymptotically stable. Optimal control measures for tuberculosis bacteria include preventive and control education, prompt treatment, and enhanced efficacy. This control is situated between  $0 \le v_j \le 1$ , where j = 1, 2 and  $v_1, v_2$  are immunization and therapy, respectively. If  $v_1 = 0$  the use of personal protective measures such as drinking warm water, wearing facial masks, wearing a nasal guard, and avoiding dusty regions is ineffectual, then when  $v_j$  is greater than zero, such precautions are completely effective. More focused and effective ways for fighting this chronic global health issue are created as the comprehension of TB dynamics improves via mathematical modelling and empirical investigations.

33 / 37

### **REFERENCES** |

- Patrick B. Phepa, Faraimunashe Chirove, and Keshlan S. Govinder. Modeling the epidemiology of bovine TB in cow and buffalo livestock, including many transmission paths. Journal of Mathematical Biosciences, Volume 277, July 2016, pages 47-58.



Bovine tuberculosis, 2024, http://www.cfsph.iastate.edu/Factsheets/pdfs/bovine-tuberculosis.pdf. [Online, viewed on January 30, 2024].





Establishing the Limits of Practical Caution for Bovine Tuberculosis, http://www.sanparks.co.za/docs/conservation/scientific/mission/TPC-BTB.pdf. [Online; accessed January 30, 2024]



I.A. Baba, F.T. Sa'ad, "universal stability evaluation of three genotypes of influenza virus simulation," Far East J. Math. Sci. 102 (12) (2017) 3259-3271.







D. Gao, N. Huang, Effective control assessment of a TB model, Journal of Appl. Math. Model. 2018 (000), 1-18.

A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

May 27. 2024

# **REFERENCES** ||

- I. A. Baba, R.A. Abdulkadir, and P. Esmaili. A review of a TB model with a the saturation rate of occurrence and optimum management. Journal of Physica A, volume 540 (2020), pages 1-10.
  - Calf TB is on the World Organization for Animal Health (WOAH) list. [Online, viewed January 29, 2024.]



- Das, Khajanchi, and Kar (2020). Tb epidemiology following recurred re-infections. Journal of Chaos, Solitons, and Fractals, Volume 130, Pages 1–13.
- Wangari IM, Stone L. Retroactive separation and fluctuation in prototypes of constant TB. Journal of PLoS ONE 2018;13(3).
- McIvor A, Koornhof H, Relapse KD. Recurrence and combined diseases in TB disease. Journal of Pathog Dis 2017;75. Ftx020.

Seidu B, Makinde OD, and Seini I. mathematical assessment of the implications of HIV-malaria a combination of viruses on job-related efficacy. Journal of Acta Biotheor 2015;63(2):151-82.



 $\label{eq:constraint} Tilhun \mbox{ GT, Makinde OD, and Malonza D. Modeling and optimal control of pneumonia disease with cost-effective strategies. Journal of Biol Dyn 2017;11(S2):400-26.$ 



Tilhun GT, Makinde OD, and Malonza D. Simulation and Enhanced Management of The illness fever sickness with economical strategies. Journal of Computer Math Methods, 2017. Article ID: 2324518.

Gerberry DJ. Pragmatic implications of downstream divergence in a computational concept for mycobacteria. Journal of Theoretical Biology 2016;388:15-36.

A D N A B N A B N

## **REFERENCES** III



Kar TK, Nandi SK, and Jana S. Mandal. The sustainability and divergence of an infectious scenario with the influence of communication. Journal of Chaos Solitons Fractals 2019;120:188–99.





[3] Dye, C., Global epidemiology of tuberculosis, the Lancet, 367, 2006, 938–940.





[2] World Health Organization, Global Tuberculosis Report 2013, WHO, Geneva, Switzerland, 2013.



[3b] Kochi, A., (1991) "The global tuberculosis situation and the new control strategy of the World Health Organization", Bulletin of the World Health Organization, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 71-75, 2001.



Lenhart, S., and Workman, J. T. Optimal Control Applied to Biological Models, Chapman and Hall/CRC, London, (2007).

Fleming, W.H, Rishel RW (1975) Deterministic and stochastic optimal control.Springer, New York May 27, 2024

May 27. 2024

# Thank You

B. A. Peter<sup>1</sup>, J. A. Akingbade<sup>2\*</sup> and O . M.An optimal control intervention for the intervention May 27, 2024 37 / 37

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

2